

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/728,334	12/04/2003	Hiroyuki Sumi	AD6932USNA	7389	
23906	7590 06/21/2006		EXAMINER		
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY			SASTRI, SATYA B		
	ENT RECORDS CENTER LL PLAZA 25/1128		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
4417 LANCASTER PIKE			1713		
WILMINGTO	ON, DE 19805		DATE MAILED: 06/21/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/728,334	SUMI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Satya B. Sastri	1713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence add	fress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this cor BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04</u> 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ The 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. vance except for formal mat		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdown 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	rawn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the second	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFI	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a line</li> </ul>	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO	-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/728,334 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on May 4, 2006. *Claims 1-16* are now pending in the application.

2. Applicants' arguments have been fully considered but not found persuasive and therefore, the rejection of *claims 1-16* under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harashina et al. (WO 01/48086) in view of Takahashi et al. (US 4,742,109) is sustained. All other objections and rejections have been rendered moot by the amendment filed on May 4, 2006.

# Response to Arguments

3. Applicants' argue that there is no hint given in the disclosure of either reference that the combination of thermoplastic polyester, phosphorous-containing flame retardant, phenolic polymer, thermoplastic acrylic resin would lead to a composition that is flame retardant, impact resistant and laser weldable. It is noted that the primary reference to Harashina discloses polyethylene terephthalate and polybutylene terephthalate compositions with flame retardant composition comprising phosphazene compound and phenolic resin. The disclosure explicitly teaches that such a flame retardant composition is useful to impart high flame retardancy without deteriorating mechanical properties (abstract, column 3, lines 59-67).

The secondary reference also discloses polybutylene terephthalate compositions comprising a polyacrylate and a silane coupling agent so as to improve the impact resistance and

Application/Control Number: 10/728,334

Art Unit: 1713

elongation. The secondary reference explicitly teaches that addition of a flame retardant to polybutylene terephthalate will result in a decrease in impact resistance and such an adverse effect can be rectified by adding a modifier consisting of polyacrylate and a silane coupling agent (column 3, lines 10-42). Given that the instant claim language recites the transitional phrase "comprising", it does not exclude silane coupling agents in the composition.

Page 3

As stated in the office rejection, it would have been obvious to combine the two references for the purpose of maintaining good mechanical and flame retardant properties of polybutylene terephthalate compositions. It is the examiner's position that properties that such as flame retardance, impact resistance and laser weldabability, are all intrinsic to the composition that would inherently be present in the composition resulting from the combined teachings of the two references. In essence, the primary reference teaches adding phenolic resin to maintain the mechanical properties while the secondary reference teaches addition of a polyacrylate and a silane coupling agent for the same purpose. It is well settled that it is prima facie obvious to combine two ingredients, each of which is targeted by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose. In re Lindner 457 F,2d 506,509, 173 USPO 356, 359 (CCPA 1972). Also, case law holds that "it is prima facie obvious to combine two compositions each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose, in order to form a third composition to be used for the very same purpose.... [T]he idea of combining them flows logically from their having been individually taught in the prior art." In re Kerkhoven, 626 F.2d 846, 850, 205 USPQ 1069, 1072 (CCPA 1980).

#### Action Is Final

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

## Future Correspondence

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Satya Sastri whose telephone number is 571-272-1112.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for regular communications. The unofficial direct fax phone number to the Examiner's desk is 571-273-1112.

Application/Control Number: 10/728,334 Page 5

Art Unit: 1713

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SATÝA SASTRI

June 16, 2006

DAVID W. WU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700